Table B – Washington Part C

Previously Identified Issues

Issue	State Submission	OSEP Analysis	Required Action
Indicator 2: OSEP's September 30, 2005 response to the State's (FFY 2003 APR) required Washington to submit to OSEP with its SPP, its plan (including strategies, timelines and evidence of change) to correct noncompliance with the IFSP natural environments requirements in 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18 and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).	On page 45 in the SPP, Washington indicated that four (or 66%) of six local lead agencies (LLAs) monitored were found in noncompliance with Part C natural environments requirements in 34 CFR §§303.12,303.18 and 303.344(d)(1)(ii). Washington identified strategies including corrective actions it had approved for the four LLAs identified as being in noncompliance with these requirements.	Washington submitted baseline data for FFY 2004 that indicates a 33% level of compliance (based on monitoring data) with Part C natural environments requirements in 34 CFR §§303.12,303.18 and 303.344(d)(1)(ii) that IFSPs either: (1) identify that an early intervention service is provided in the natural environment as identified by the child's IFSP team (which includes the parent); or (2) include an appropriate child-outcome based justification by the IFSP team for why the early intervention service is not provided in the natural environment.	Washington must ensure that this noncompliance is corrected within one year of its identification and demonstrate compliance with this requirement in the FFY 2005 APR due February 1, 2007. Washington should review and, if necessary revise, its improvement strategies included in the SPP to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the APR, that demonstrate full compliance with this requirement. Failure to demonstrate compliance at that time may affect OSEP's determination of the State's status under section 616(d) of the IDEA.
Indicator 7: OSEP's September 30, 2005 response to the State's FFY 2003 APR required Washington to submit to OSEP with its SPP, its plan (including strategies, timelines and evidence of change) to correct noncompliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.344(e)(2); 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a).	In the SPP, page 36, Washington reported that: (1) two (or 33%) of six local lead agencies did not demonstrate 100% compliance with the 45-day timeline requirement; and (2) the two LLAs found in noncompliance, have submitted corrective action plans. Washington submitted in the SPP its plan to correct this noncompliance. The plan includes multiple strategies such as: (1) the use of the State's Data Management System to collect and report on the 45-day timeline; (2) implementation of Statewide	Washington submitted baseline data for FFY 2004 that indicates a 66% level of compliance (based on monitoring data) with the requirements of this indicator, specifically the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.344(e)(2); 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a).	Washington must ensure that this noncompliance is corrected within one year of its identification and include data in the APR, due February 1, 2007, that demonstrate compliance with this requirement. Washington should review and, if necessary revise, its improvement strategies included in the SPP to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the APR, that demonstrate full compliance with this requirement. Failure to demonstrate compliance at

Issue	State Submission	OSEP Analysis	Required Action
	monitoring activities to identify and correct deficiencies that include timelines and progress reports; (3) implementation of State-directed technical assistance to improve the accuracy of data collection and reporting; and (4) implementation of targeted policy clarification.		that time may affect OSEP's determination of the State's status under section 616(d) of the IDEA.
Indicator 8C: OSEP's September 30, 2005 response to the State's FFY 2003 APR required Washington to submit to OSEP with its SPP its plan (including strategies, timelines and evidence of change) to correct noncompliance related to the transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i).	In the SPP, page 40, Washington reported monitoring data, that indicated noncompliance in four (or 66%) of six local lead agencies regarding transition conferences not conducted at least 90 days prior to the child's third birthday as required by 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i). The State also indicated that corrective action plans had been approved. Washington reported that it added transition planning requirements to its data management system in October 2004 and that it intends to collect data through ad hoc reports on compliance with the requirements at 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i). The State will submit this data to OSEP in the APR in February, 2007.	The State's data indicates a 33% compliance rate (based on monitoring data) with the transition requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i).	Washington must ensure that this noncompliance is corrected within one year of its identification and demonstrate compliance with this requirement in the FFY 2005 APR due February 1, 2007. Washington should review and, if necessary revise, its improvement strategies included in the SPP to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the APR, that demonstrate full compliance with this requirement. Failure to demonstrate compliance at that time may affect OSEP's determination of the State's status under section 616(d) of the IDEA.